

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
CASE NO. 3:20-CV-021-MOC-DCK**

PHILIPS MEDICAL SYSTEMS)
NEDERLAND B.V., PHILIPS NORTH)
AMERICA LLC, and PHILIPS INDIA LTD.,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

TEC HOLDINGS, INC., TRANSTATE)
EQUIPMENT COMPANY, INC., and)
ROBERT A. WHEELER,)

Defendants.)

ORDER

THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on “Defendants’ Motion To Seal Motion *In Limine* And Supporting Memorandum” (Document No. 669) filed March 22, 2023. This motion has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and immediate review is appropriate. Having carefully considered the motion and the record, the undersigned will grant the motion.

A party who seeks to seal any pleading must comply with the Local Rules of this Court. Local Civil Rule (“LCvR”) 6.1 provides in relevant part as follows:

LCvR. 6.1 SEALED FILINGS AND PUBLIC ACCESS.

(a) *Scope of Rule.* To further openness in civil case proceedings, there is a presumption under applicable common law and the First Amendment that materials filed in this Court will be filed unsealed. This Rule governs any party’s request to seal, or otherwise restrict public access to, any materials filed with the Court or used in connection with judicial decision- making. As used in this Rule, “materials” includes pleadings and documents of any nature and in any medium or format.

(b) *Filing under Seal.* No materials may be filed under seal

except by Court order, pursuant to a statute, or in accordance with a previously entered Rule 26(e) protective order.

(c) ***Motion to Seal or Otherwise Restrict Public Access.*** A party's request to file materials under seal must be made by formal motion, separate from the motion or other pleading sought to be sealed, pursuant to LCvR 7.1. Such motion must be filed electronically under the designation "Motion to Seal." The motion must set forth:

- (1) A non-confidential description of the material sought to be sealed;
- (2) A statement indicating why sealing is necessary and why there are no alternatives to filing under seal;
- (3) Unless permanent sealing is sought, a statement indicating how long the party seeks to have the material maintained under seal and how the matter is to be handled upon unsealing; and
- (4) Supporting statutes, case law, or other authority.

LCvR 6.1. It appears that the requirements of LCvR 6.1(c)(1) through (4) have been adequately met.

By the instant motion, Defendants seek to seal "Defendants' Motion *In Limine* To Exclude Evidence Related To Philips' Withdrawn Claims For Trade Secret Misappropriation" (Document No. 667), their "Memorandum In Support Of Defendants' Motion *In Limine* To Exclude Evidence Related To Philips' Withdrawn Claims For Trade Secret Misappropriation" (Document No. 668) and the Exhibit attached thereto (Document No. 668-1). Defendants contend that these documents contain "certain materials and information" that Plaintiffs have designed as "Confidential" or "Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to the Protective Order. (Document No. 669).

Having considered the factors provided in LCvR 6.1(c), the Court will grant the motion to seal. Noting that the time for public response has not run to this motion, the Court will consider any objection to this Order from non-parties as an objection to the motion, requiring no additional

burden for any non-party under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Local Rule 6.1(e).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that on “Defendants’ Motion To Seal Motion *In Limine* And Supporting Memorandum” (Document No. 669) is **GRANTED**. Document Nos. 667, 668, and 668-1 shall remain under **SEAL** until otherwise ordered by this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants shall file redacted versions of Document Nos. 667 and 668 on or before **March 29, 2023**.

SO ORDERED.



THE HONORABLE DAVID C. KEESLER
March 22, 2023